Collapse of directed flow as a signal of the softest point of QCD matter

Akira Ohnishi (YITP, Kyoto U.)

YITP and IOPP Joint workshop on Heavy Ion Physics @IOPP, CCNU, Wuhan, Feb.20, 2016.





Y. Nara, A. Ohnishi, arXiv:1512.06299 [nucl-th] (QM2015 proc.) Y. Nara, A. Ohnishi, H. Stoecker, arXiv:1601.07692 [hep-ph]



QCD Phase Diagram





Signals of QGP formation & QCD phase transition

- Signals of QGP formation at top RHIC & LHC energies
 - Jet quenching in AA collisions (not in dA)
 - Large elliptic flow (success of hydrodynamics)
 - Quark number scaling (coalescence of quarks)
- Next challenges

Tsukiji et al.

- Puzzles: Early thermalization, Photon v2, Small QGP, ...

 — Complete understanding from initial to final states
- Discovery of QCD phase transition
- Signals of QCD phase transition at BES energies ?
 - Critical Point \rightarrow Large fluctuation of conserved charges
 - First-order phase transition → Softening of EOS
 - \rightarrow Non-monotonic behavior of proton number moment ($\kappa\sigma^2$) and collective flow (dv₁/dy)

Net-Proton Number Cumulants & Directed Flow





A. Ohnishi @ YITP-IOPP, Feb.20, 2016 4

Signals of QGP formation & QCD phase transition

- Signals of QGP formation at top RHIC & LHC energies
 - Jet quenching in AA collisions (not in dA)
 - Large elliptic flow (success of hydrodynamics)
 - Quark number scaling (coalescence of quarks)
- Next challenges

Tsukiji et al.

- Puzzles: Early thermalization, Photon v2, Small QGP, ...
 → Complete understanding from initial to final states
- Discovery of QCD phase transition
- Signals of QCD phase transition at BES energies ?
 - Critical Point \rightarrow Large fluctuation of conserved charges
 - First-order phase transition \rightarrow Softening of EOS

es Ichihara, Morita, AO Doi, Tsutsui

 \rightarrow Non-monotonic behavior of proton number moment ($\kappa\sigma^2$) and collective flow (dv₁/dy)

What is directed flow ?



- v₁ or <p_x> as a function of y is called directed flow.
- Sensitive to the EOS in the early stage.
- Becomes smaller at higher energies.





© Y. Nara

0.5

v

V1 from hydrodynamics

PHSD/HSD predictions

proton antiproton pion π 0.04 0.02 pions protons antiprotons \Rightarrow STAR π^- • STAR O STAR 0.02 + STAR π⁺ -0.02 200 GeV 2 0.03 27 GeV -0.02 -0.02 62.4 GeV 19.6 GeV 0.02 0.02 ٧ı -0.02 39 GeV hadronic -0.02 crossover 0.02 1st-order tr. 0.02 11.5 GeV 0 -0.0227 GeV 0.02 **،** • • • -0.02 -0.02 19.6 GeV 0.02 0.02 7.7 Ge♥ -0.03 11.5 GeV 5 0.02 -0.02 -0.0 -0.040 1 -1 0 1 -1 7.7 GeV 0 1 -0.5 -0.5 -1 -1 -0.5 -1 0 0.5 y y V

Y. B. Ivanov and A. A. Soldatov, Phys. Rev. C91, no. 2, 024915 (2015)

V. P. Konchakovski, W. Cassing, Y. B. Ivanov and V. D. Toneev, Phys. Rev. C90, no. 1, 014903 (2014)

v

© Y. Nara

Wiggle: QGP signal in the directed flow?



L. P. Csernai, D. Röhrich, PLB 45 (1999), 454.

QGP EoS predicts wiggle in hydro

Collapse of directed flow

- Negative dv_1/dy at high-energy ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} > 20$ GeV)
 - Geometric origin (bowling pin mechanism), not related to FOPT *R.Snellings, H.Sorge, S.Voloshin, F.Wang, N. Xu, PRL84,2803(2000)*
- Solution Negative dv_1/dy at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 10$ GeV
 - Yes, in three-fluid simulations.
 - No, in transport models incl. hybrid. Exception: B.A.Li, C.M.Ko ('98) with FOPT EOS

We investigate the directed flow at BES energies in hadronic transport model with / without mean field effects with / without softening effects via attractive orbit.



Hadronic Transport Approach Cascade / Cascade + Mean Field



© Y. Nara

<u>Microscopic transport models</u> (event generator for nuclear collisions)

• UrQMD 3.4 Frankfurt public resonance model N*,D*, string pQCD, PYTHIA6.4

- PHSD Giessen (Cassing) upon request D(1232),N(1440),N(1530), string, pQCD, FRITIOF7.02
- **GiBUU 1.6** Giessen (Mosel) **public** resonance model N*,D*, string, pQCD,PYTHIA6.4
- AMPT public HIJING+ZPC+ART
- JAM Japan (Y. Nara) public resonance model N*,D*, string, pQCD, PYTHIA6.1

Transport Model

Boltzmann equation with potential effects E.g. Bertsch, Das Gupta, Phys. Rept. 160(88), 190

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f - \nabla U \cdot \nabla_p f = I_{\text{coll}}$$



 $I_{\text{coll}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3} d\Omega \ v_{12} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \left[ff_2(1 - f_3)(1 - f_4) \right) - (12 \leftrightarrow 34) \right]$ (NN elastic scattering case)

Hadron-string transport model JAM

Collision term → Hadronic cascade with resonance and string excitation Nara, Otuka, AO, Niita, Chiba, Phys. Rev. C61 (2000), 024901.

 Potential term → Mean field effects in the framework of RQMD/S Sorge, Stocker, Greiner, Ann. of Phys. 192 (1989), 266.
 Tomoyuki Maruyama et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. 96(1996), 263.
 Isse, AO, Otuka, Sahu, Nara, Phys.Rev. C 72 (2005), 064908.



Relativistic QMD/Simplified (RQMD/S)

- RQMD is developed based on constraint Hamiltonian dynamics *H. Sorge, H. Stoecker, W. Greiner, Ann. Phys.* 192 (1989), 266.
 - 8N dof \rightarrow 2N constraints \rightarrow 6N (phase space)
 - Constraints = on-mass-shell constraints + time fixation
- RQMD/S uses simplified time-fixation *Tomoyuki Maruyama, et al. Prog. Theor. Phys.* 96(1996),263.
 - Single particle energy (on-mass-shell constraint)

$$p_i^0 = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{p}_i^2 + m_i^2 + 2m_i V_i}$$

EOM after solving constraints

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i} = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{p_{i}^{0}} + \sum_{j} \frac{m_{j}}{p_{j}^{0}} \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{i}} \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{i} = -\sum_{j} \frac{m_{j}}{p_{j}^{0}} \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_{i}}$$

• Relative distances $(r_i - r_j)^2$ are replaced with those in the two-body c.m. \rightarrow Potential becomes Lorentz scalar



Mean field potential

Skyrme type density dependent + Lorentzian momentum dependent potential

$$V = \sum_{i} V_{i} = \int d^{3}r \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \right)^{2} + \frac{\beta}{\gamma + 1} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \right)^{\gamma + 1} \right] + \sum_{k} \int d^{3}r d^{3}p d^{3}p' \frac{C_{ex}^{(k)}}{2\rho_{0}} \frac{f(r, p)f(r, p')}{1 + (p - p')^{2}/\mu_{k}^{2}}$$
$$\frac{\text{Type}}{(\text{MeV}) \quad (\text{MeV})} \frac{\alpha}{(\text{MeV})} \frac{\beta}{(\text{MeV})} \frac{\gamma}{(\text{MeV})} \frac{C_{ex}^{(1)}}{(\text{MeV})} \frac{C_{ex}^{(2)}}{(\text{MeV})} \frac{\mu_{1}}{(\text{m}^{-1})} \frac{\mu_{2}}{(\text{m}^{-1})} \frac{K}{(\text{MeV})}}{(\text{MeV})}$$
$$\frac{\text{MH1}}{(\text{ME1})} \frac{-12.25}{-208.89} \frac{87.40}{284.04} \frac{5/3}{7/6} \frac{-383.14}{-383.14} \frac{337.41}{337.41} \frac{2.02}{2.02} \frac{1.0}{1.0} \frac{371.92}{272.6}}{1.0}$$



Y. Nara, AO, arXiv:1512.06299 [nucl-th] (QM2015 proc.) Isse, AO, Otuka, Sahu, Nara, PRC 72 (2005), 064908.

© Y. Nara

© Y. Nara

Comparison of v1



Effects of potential on the v1 is significant

Hadronic approach does not reproduce the correct beam energy dependence of the directed flow.

Something happens around 10-20GeV?

JAM/M: only formed baryons feel potential forces JAM/Mq: pre-formed hadron feel potential with factor 2/3 for diquark, and 1/3 for quark JAM/Mf: both formed and pre-formed hadrons feel potential forces.

Y. Nara, AO, arXiv:1512.06299 [nucl-th] (QM2015 proc.)

Hadronic Transport Approach with Softening Effects



Softening Effects via Attractive Orbit Scattering

 Attractive orbit scattering simulates softening of EOS P. Danielewicz, S. Pratt, PRC 53, 249 (1996) H. Sorge, PRL 82, 2048 (1999).

$$P = P_f + \frac{1}{3TV} \sum_{(i,j)} (\boldsymbol{q}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_i + \boldsymbol{q}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_j)$$
(Virial theorem)



Attractive orbit → particle trajectory are bended in denser region

Let us examine the EOS softening effects, which cannot be explained in hadronic mean field potential, by using attractive orbit scatterings !

Y. Nara, AO, H. Stöcker, arXiv:1601.07692 [hep-ph]



A. Ohnishi @ YITP-IOPP, Feb.20, 2016 17

Directed Flow with Attractive Orbits

Nara, AO, Stöcker ('16)





A. Ohnishi @ YITP-IOPP, Feb.20, 2016 18

Mean Field + Attractive Orbit

Nara, AO, Stöcker ('16)



MF+*Attractive Orbit make dv*/*dy negative at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 10$ *GeV*



When is negative v₁ slope generated ?

Nara, AO, Stöcker ('16)



We need to make v1 slope negative in the compressing stage.



Tilted Ellipsoid ?

Nara, AO, Stöcker ('16)



Transport model results also show tilted-ellipsoid-like behavior, but it is not enough.



18 GeV, 3-fluid Toneev et al. ('03)





Summary

- We may see QCD phase transition (1st or 2nd) signals at BES (or J-PARC) energies in baryon number cumulants and v₁ slope.
- Hadronic transport models cannot explain negative v_1 slope below $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 20$ GeV.
 - Geometric (bowling pin) mechanism becomes manifest at higher energies (JAM, JAM-MF, HSD, PHSD, UrQMD,).
- Hadronic transport with EOS softening can describe negative v_1 slope below $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 20$ GeV.
 - Attractive orbit scattering simulates EOS softening (virial theorem).
 - We need more studies to confirm its nature. First-order phase transition ? Crossover ? Forward-backward rapidities ? MF leading to softer EOS ?
- We need "re-hardening" at higher energies, e.g. $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 27 \text{ GeV}$.



Thank you !



Nuclear Liquid-Gas Phase Transition





J. Pochadzalla et al. (GSI-ALLADIN collab.), PRL 75 (1995) 1040.





A. Ohnishi @ YITP-IOPP, Feb.20, 2016 24

Horn, Step and Dale

Non-monotonic behavior in K⁺/ π ⁺ ratio (Horn),

m slope par. (Step or re-hardening) ranidity dist width of π





A. Ohnishi @ YITP-IOPP, Feb.20, 2016 25

Hybrid Approaches



J. Steinheimer, J. Auvinen, H. Petersen, V. P. Konchakovski, W. Cassing, Yu. B. Ivanov, M. Bleicher, H. Stöcker, PRC89 ('14) 054913 V. D. Toneev, PRC90('14)014903



JAM results at AGS and SPS Energies

JAM w/ Mean-Field effects roughly explains v₁ and v, at AGS & **SPS** (1-158 A GeV $\rightarrow \sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 2.5-20$ GeV) $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =8.9 GeV $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =17.3 GeV 0.15 0.08 Proton v₂ for AGS to SPS Energies 0.06 40 AGeV Proton 158 AGeV Proton 0.10 SIS AGS **SPS** 0.04 0.05 0.02 0.00 5 0 BES -0.02 -0.05 MH -0.04 -010 MS -0.06 CS MS(N) -015 -0.08 V₁ -1.0 10 -2.0 2.0:2.0 -1.0 100 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.0: $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Einc (AGeV) y E. 'inc

M. Isse, AO, N. Otuka, P. K. Sahu, Y. Nara, PRC72('05)064908



A. Ohnishi @ YITP-IOPP, Feb.20, 2016 27

Highest Density Matter at J-PARC?



How do heavy-ion collisions look like ?

Au+Au, 10.6 A GeV

Pb+Pb, 158 A GeV





JAMming on the Web http://www.jcprg.org/jow/



A. Ohnishi @ YITP-IOPP, Feb.20, 2016 29

J-PARC energy



Au+Au, 25 AGeV, b=5 fm (JOW)





QCD phase transition at BES (J-PARC) Energies ?

- J-PARC Energies: $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4-40$ GeV (or $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 1.9-6.2$ GeV)
 - E(p)=30 GeV \rightarrow E(Au) \sim 12 AGeV (full strip, $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 5.1$ GeV for Au+Au)
 - $E(p)=50 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow E(Au) \sim 20 \text{ AGeV} (\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}=6.4 \text{ GeV})$
 - E(p)=30 GeV (50 GeV) Collider $\rightarrow \sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}= 26$ GeV (42 GeV)
- Two Aspects of J-PARC energies
 - Formation of highest baryon density matter



QCD phase transition at BES (J-PARC) Energies ?

- J-PARC Energies: $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4-40 \text{ GeV}$ (or $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 1.9-6.2 \text{ GeV}$)
 - E(p)=30 GeV \rightarrow E(Au) \sim 12 AGeV (full strip, $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 5.1$ GeV for Au+Au)
 - $E(p)=50 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow E(Au) \sim 20 \text{ AGeV} (\sqrt{s_{NN}}=6.4 \text{ GeV})$
 - E(p)=30 GeV (50 GeV) Collider $\rightarrow \sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}= 26 \text{ GeV} (42 \text{ GeV})$
- Two Aspects of J-PARC energies
 - Formation of highest baryon density matter

Question

Do these Non-mono. behaviors signal the onset of QCD phase transition and/or QCD critical point ? or Do they show some properties of hadronic matter ? → Let's examine in hadronic transport models !



© Y. Nara

How to treat mean-field for excited matter?

Hadronic resonance dominant

constituent quark dominant due to string



Model 1 JAM/M: potential for all formed baryons

Model 2 JAM/Mq: potentials for quarks inside the pre-formed hadrons

Model 3: JAM/Mf: both formed and pre-formed baryons

© Y. Nara

Hadronic transport Approach

Purpose : Effects of hadron mean field potential on the directed flow v1

JAM hadronic cascade model : resonance and string excitation

Mean field by the framework of the Relativistic Quantum Molecular Dynamics

Nuclear cluster formation by phase space coalescence.

Statistical decay of nuclear fragment

Relativistic QMD/Simplified (RQMD/S)

(C) Y. Nara

RQMD based on Constraint Hamiltonian Dynamcis

Sorge, Stoecker, Greiner, Ann. Phys. 192 (1989), 266. RQMD/S: Tomoyuki Maruyama, et al. Prog. Theor. Phys. 96(1996),263.

Single particle energy:

$$p_i^0 = \sqrt{p_i^2 + m_i^2 + 2m_i V_i}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_i = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_i}{p_i^0} + \sum_j \frac{m_j}{p_j^0} \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_i} \qquad \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_i = -\sum_j \frac{m_j}{p_j^0} \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_i}$$

Arguments of potential $r_i - r_j$ and $p_i - p_j$ are replaced by the distances in the two-body c.m.

Relativistic QMD/Simplified (RQMD/S)

- RQMD = Constraint Hamiltonian Dynamics (Sorge, Stocker, Greiner, Ann. of Phys. 192 (1989), 266.)
- **Constraints:** $\varphi \approx 0$ (Satisfied on the realized trajectory, by Dirac)
 - Variables in Covariant Dynamics = 8N phase space: (q_{μ}, p_{μ})
 - Variables in EOM = 6N phase space
 → We need 2N constraints to get EOM
- On Mass-Shell Constraints

$$\boldsymbol{H}_i \equiv \boldsymbol{p}_i^2 - \boldsymbol{m}_i^2 - 2\boldsymbol{m}_i \boldsymbol{V}_i \approx \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Time-Fixation in RQMD/S

 $\chi_i \equiv \hat{a} \cdot (q_i - q_N) \approx \theta (i = 1, \sim N - 1) , \quad \chi_N \equiv \hat{a} \cdot q_N - \tau \approx \theta$ $\hat{a} = \text{Time-like unit vector in the Calculation Frame}$

(Tomoyuki Maruyama et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. 96(1996), 263.)



RQMD/S (cont.)

Hamiltonian is made of constraints

$$H = \sum_{i} u_{i} \phi_{i} \quad (\phi_{i} = H_{i} (i = l \sim N), \chi_{i-N} (i = N + l \sim 2N))$$

Time Development $\frac{df}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} + \{f, H\}, \quad \{q_{\mu}, p_{\nu}\} = g_{\mu\nu}$

- Lagrange multipliers are determined to keep constraints → *We can obtain the multipliers analytically in RQMD/S* $\frac{d \phi_i}{d \tau} \approx 0 \rightarrow \delta_{i,2N} + \sum_j u_j \{\phi_i, \phi_j\} \approx 0$
- Equations of Motion

$$H = \sum_{i} (p_{i}^{2} - m_{i}^{2} - 2m_{i}V_{i})/2p_{i}^{0} , \quad p_{i}^{0} = E_{i} = \sqrt{\vec{p}_{i}^{2}} + m_{i}^{2} + 2m_{i}V_{i}$$
$$\frac{d\vec{r}_{i}}{d\tau} \approx -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{p}_{i}} = \frac{\vec{p}}{p_{i}^{0}} + \sum_{j} \frac{m_{j}}{p_{j}^{0}} \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \vec{p}_{i}} , \quad \frac{d\vec{p}_{i}}{d\tau} \approx \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{r}_{i}} = -\sum_{j} \frac{m_{j}}{p_{j}^{0}} \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial \vec{r}_{i}}$$

We can include MF in an almost covariant way in molecular dynamics



Particle "DISTANCE"

$$r_{Tij}^{2} \equiv r_{\mu} r^{\mu} - \left(r_{\mu} P_{ij}^{\mu} \right)^{2} / P_{ij}^{2} = \vec{r}^{2} \quad (in \ CM)$$
$$P_{ij} \equiv p_{i} + p_{j} , \quad r \equiv r_{i} - r_{j}$$

Particle "Momentum Difference"

$$p_{Tij}^{2} \equiv p_{\mu} p^{\mu} - \left(p_{\mu} P_{ij}^{\mu} \right)^{2} / P_{ij}^{2} = \vec{p}^{2} \quad (in \ CM)$$
$$p \equiv p_{i} - p_{j}$$

Lorentz Invariant, and Becomes Normal Distance in CM !

