Little Red Dots: A Key Building Block of the Massive BH Population at Cosmic Dawn

Kohei Inayoshi

Peking University Kavli Institute for Astronomy & Astrophysics

Collaborators: Haojie Hu, Wenxiu Li, Zhengrong Li, Kejian Chen, Jingsong Guo, Masafusa Onoue, Kohei Ichikawa, Kazumi Kashiyama, Yuichi Harikane, Luis C. Ho, JWST CEERS/COSMOS-Web collaborations

August 5th, 2024 @Transient 2024, YITP

Tenure Associate Prof. (2024.8.1~)

Thank you all for your continuous supports in the past 15 years, especially to Kyoto colleagues

Outline

1. Overviews of JWST observations 2. Little red dots — AGNs hypothesis

3. Applications — BH growth, spin, TDEs

1. Overview of JWST observations

Supermassive Black Holes (SMBH)

Galaxy mass

- 1) What is the origin of SMBHs?
- 2) How did BHs and galaxies interact?
- 3) Cosmological coevolution & high-z?

Key questions:

History of the Universe

JWST

High-z SMBH population

AGNs unveiled with JWST $M_{\bullet} \simeq 10^{6-8}~M_{\odot}$

Hidden little monsters uncovered by JWST 1670 -19*.*4*±*0*.*05 4*.*48*±*0*.*08 1*.*64*±*0*.*21 2060*±*290 1*.*3*±*0*.*4 0*.*15*±*0*.*04 *<* 6*.*0 3*.*9*±*0*.*5 3210 See text 1*.*67*±*0*.*16 1800*±*200 0*.*90*±*0*.*22 0*.*29*±*0*.*08 *<* 60*.*0 5*.*3*±*2*.*1 3210*Av*= 4 See text 34*.*4*±*3*.*4 1800*±*200 4*.*7*±*1*.*2 3*.*5*±*0*.*9 *<* 60*.*0 5*.*3*±*2*.*1

note best for the Long mass for Dlask Hele in the Find the Pillian Verseal of the Line was the line with of the s (Equation 1), which celear and line is the central dividing the control of the control of the bolometric luminosi • Hidden Little Monsters: Spectroscopic Identification of Low-Mass, Broad-Line AGN at $z > 5$ with CEERS

- **A Candidate for the Least-massive Black Hole in the First 1.1 Billion Years of the Universe**
-

JWST broad-line AGNs $\Phi \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-4}$ Mpc⁻³ mag⁻¹

Abundant fainter AGNs

Jiang+18, Matsuoka+18, McGreer+18, Niida+20

e.g., Onoue+23, Kocevski+23, Harikane+23, Maiolino+23, Matthee+24, Greene+24, Kokorev+24

Bright QSOs (ground-based surveys) $\Phi \lesssim 10^{-8} - 10^{-7} \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \text{ mag}^{-1}$ \mathcal{G} \lesssim 10 \degree – 10 \degree Mpc \degree n

 $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is speaked that $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ is shown in red. The $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ \blacktriangle bundant α low-iuminosity AGNS (low

$\Phi \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \text{ mag}^{-1}$ e.g., Finkelstein+15, Bouwens+21,Harikane+22 $K_{\rm eff}$ (LR) \sim $\frac{1}{2}$ (LR) \sim $\frac{1}{2}$ (LR) \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \log

(*right*). We find good agreement with previous photometric and spectroscopic compilations of LRDs (Matthee et al. 2023; Greene et al. 2023; Kokorev et al. 2024). We find LRDs are 50 and 2000 times more numerous at *M*UV = -19 than expected at *z* ⇠ 5 and *z* ⇠ 7, respectively, **Abundant** & low-luminosity AGNs (**low-mass BHs**) detected with JWST

Early BH-galaxy coevolution

• Transient **super-Eddington** accretion of BHs, which are also detectable with JWST

RHD simulations (KI+22a,b; **Hu+22a,b**)

• **Overmassive BHs** relative to the local relation

Intrinsically overmassive or just biased distribution? (Pacucci+23, Li, Silverman & Shen +24, Kormendy & Ho 2013)

2-1. Little Red Dots (observations)

NOTE: they seem a new population at high-z sources. We haven't reached a conclusion. Thus, I might talk about some chaos…

Little Red Dots

- Very compact & red sources (in JWST NIRCam)
- **Broad-component** of Balmer lines (Ha/Hb)

Normal (unobscured) AGNs seen by JWST

Onoue+23, Kocevski+23, Guo+24 in prep

- Very compact (unless galaxy light dominates)
- **Flat SED** in Fν (NIRCam) + **broad** Balmer lines (NIRSpec)

Characteristic v-shape SEDs

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

Two components!! really? simpler is better...

LRD's SED at near IR

Pérez-González+ (2024)

Obscured galaxy

Obscured AGNs (hot dust)

LRD's SED at near IR

 \bigcap

-
-

A short summary of observations

A short summary of observations

2-2. Little Red Dots (SED model)

Li, KI, Chen, Ichikawa & Ho (2024) arXiv:2407.10760

Solutions for UV/NIR parts of LRD's SED

Extinction laws in dense systems

- Heavier extinction from optical to UV
- SMC / Calzetti's laws (everyone uses)
- ISM extinction laws (see text book)
- due to the deficit of small-size grains; • **Gray extinction** at UV ranges (<3000A) $a < \lambda/2\pi \sim 0.06 \ \mu m$
- Orion Nebula (everyone knows)
- Composite AGN spectra
- High-z galaxies (6<z<13)

AGN SED + Extinction

3

Gray extinction maintains the v-shaped SED of LRDs

Re-emitted IR energy

 $T_{\text{dust}}(r)$ cold dust

hot dust

warm dust

mass distribution:

$$
\rho(r) \propto r^{-\gamma}
$$

centrally concentrated density • Torus model *γ* > 1 IR emission from **hot** dust

less concentrated density • Our model $0 < \gamma < 1$ IR emission from relatively **cooler** dust

see also e.g., Barvainis (1987), Hönig & Kishimoto (2017)

IR SED depending on density gradients

Energy transfer from NIR to MIR with **extended** dust distribution

Multi-wavelength SED of LRDs (only AGN)

Li, KI, Chen+ 2024

New AGN unified model

- **Classical unified model:** Clear classification of low-z AGNs (type 1 vs 2), depending on the viewing angle due to the presence of dense dusty tori
- **Intermediate stage (LRDs):** Dynamically unsettled & extended gas/dust at higher redshifts, with a higher covering factor of BLRs

3-1. Applications

KI & Ichikawa (2024) arXiv:2402.14706

Sołtan-Paczyński argument (BH growth & spin)

Soltan argument for QSOs

ϵ : radiative efficiency ~ 10% (disk model, BH spin) theoretical max \sim 42%

• Mass conservation law in accreting/illuminating BHs Soltan 1982, Yu & Tremaine 2002

$$
M_{\rm BH}(z) = M_{\rm BH}(z_{\rm s}) + \Delta h
$$

$$
\Delta M_{\rm BH} = \int \dot{M}_{\rm BH} \, dt = \int \frac{1}{t}
$$

Soltan argument for QSOs

$$
\rho_{\rm BH}(z) = \rho_{\rm BH}(z_{\rm s}) + \int \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{L}}{c^2} \frac{dt}{dz} dz
$$

$$
\simeq \rho_{\rm BH}(z_{\rm s}) + \frac{1 - \bar{\epsilon}}{\bar{\epsilon}c^2} \int_{z_{\rm s}}^{z} dz \frac{dt}{dz} \int_{L_{\rm m}}^{L_{\rm m}}
$$

 $\bar{\epsilon} \sim 0.1$ (*a*[•] ~ 0.7) radiatively efficient accretion with moderate spins

• Mass conservation law in accreting/illuminating BHs Soltan 1982, Yu & Tremaine 2002

Mass density of local relic BHs = Mass accreted onto BHs over time

Soltan argument for the earliest BHs

Birth of rapidly spinning BHs at cosmic dawn

Radiative efficiency of **>30%** & rapid BH spins of **a>0.99** Tradiative of the dividence between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ for dividence existence existence existence existence existence of $\frac{1}{2}$

- Radio jets (BZ mechanisms) from early BHs LRD phase (in units of *M* cMpc³) with a 10% radiative eciency. Column (4)-(7): the *p*-value evaluated in the *t*-test for the null hypothesis between ⇢*•*(*z* = 5) and ⇢*•* at *z >* 5 for di↵erent values of the radiative eciency (and the corresponding
- Prolonged disk accretion vs. chaotic accretion . BH spin parameters is two constant two constants with LRD data based on the COSMOS-Web survey (Akines et al. 2024) and the COSMOS-Web survey (Akines et al. 2024) and the COSMOS-Web survey (Akines et al. 2024) and the COS other Lat. 2024; Greene et al. 2024; Greene et al. 2024; Kokorev et al. 2024; Kokorev et al. 2024; Kokorev et
1924; Kokorev et al. 2024; Kokorev et al. 2024; Kokorev et al. 2024; Kokorev et al. 2024; Kokorev et al. 2024;
- GW waveform modulation by BH spins in their coalescences

KI & Ichikawa 24

3-2. Applications

KI, Kashiyama, Li, Harikane, Ichikawa & Onoue (2024)

TDEs from LRDs

Rapid BHs spins allow 1. TDEs by M>108Msun 2. Brighter jets, 3. … Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 2021.59:21-58. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by 153.126.132.126.132.12

BH ! disrupted stellar debris

High-z TDEs from JWST AGNs DIR COMMUNISHING 2012b, Gafton & Rosswog 2019) and the impact parameter of the star's orbit (Gafton & Rosswog

2019), will have an imprint on the energy distribution of the debris and, thus, the fallback rate.

One of the most remarkable observed characteristics of TDEs is that, at face value, they appear

to have a light curve that follows the general shape of the theoretical TDE fallback rate (**Figure 4**).

[−]5/3 power law to the light curve on its decline from peak, there is a strong

correlation between the time of peak since the inferred time of disruption, !*t* = (*t*peak − *t*D), and

TDE rate vs. BHMF shape

SED evolution of high-z TDEs 30 Roman (1hour) **0 days 30 days 1.0 yrs 60 days** 2∞ 8 Roman (1000 sec) Roman (500 sec)

Color-magnitude diagram for high-z TDEs

Ultra-high Redshift Galaxies 15 (Ultra-high Redshift Galaxies 15 (Ultra-high Redshift Galaxies 15 (Ultra-high
Ultra-high Galaxies 15 (Ultra-high Redshift Galaxies 15 (Ultra-high Galaxies 15 (Ultra-high Galaxies 15 (Ultra

Questions?

