

C031-070-050  
[N24 050]

Sch 1.

W. D. Schultz  
Projection operator

$$\varphi(X, r) = e^{ikX} \chi_k(r)$$

$$\chi_k(r) = \chi_{n_1}(p_1) \chi_{n_2}(p_2) \chi_{n_3}(p_3) \chi_{n_0}(p_0)$$

$$p_\mu = \sum_{\nu} a_{\mu\nu}^{(k)} r_\nu$$

$a_{\mu\nu}^{(k)}$  is coefficients of Lorentz transformation from the system in which  $k$  is  $k$  to the rest system in which

$$k \equiv (0, 0, 0, \pm i\kappa) \quad \text{where } \kappa = v\sqrt{k_x k_x}$$

The important thing is that the rest system is defined uniquely except for the spatial rotation.

(Only exception is  $k_\mu k_\mu = 0$ )  
Thus,

$$\varphi(X, r) \Rightarrow \varphi(X', r') = e^{ik'X'} \chi_{k'}(r')$$

$$\chi_{k'}(r') = \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_0} \chi_{n'_1}(p'_1) \chi_{n'_2}(p'_2) \chi_{n'_3}(p'_3) \times \chi_{n'_0}(p'_0)$$

where

$$n'_1 + n'_2 + n'_3 + n'_0 \leq n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_0$$

and since  $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - n_0$  is invariant, the highest order of  $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$  and

$n_0$  must be invariant,

Plus, one can define an invariant projection operator which is

$$P_{n, n_0}$$

such that it is a projection to a subspace of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with

$$n \leq N, \quad n_0 \leq N_0.$$

The most crucial question is whether it is possible to restrict <sup>the interaction</sup> all the way through such that the  $\mathcal{Q}$  is inside the subspace all the way through.

Also is it possible that  $k$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  can be restricted to time-like vectors?

The first question could be answered favorably, perhaps, because, if the interaction contains  $P_{n, n_0} \mathcal{Q}$ , there would be the subspace outside will have no chance to interfere with the subspace inside.

As for the second question, one can also introduce the another projection operator  $P_{n_0}$  such that the condition  $k_\mu k_\mu < -n_0^2$  is not violated.

Sch 2.

One feature of the theory, which makes us feel uneasy, is that the particles with 0-mass such as photons and possibly neutrinos could not be fitted into the picture easily. Only possibility, which is conceivable so far, is that these zero mass particles are to be described by ordinary local fields as just as before.

# Projection Operators

W.D. Schultz, March

P.O. 1

$$\varphi(x, r) = \frac{e^{i k_{\mu} x_{\mu}}}{\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0} c_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0}} H_{n_1}(r_1') H_{n_2}(r_2') H_{n_3}(r_3')$$

$$\times H_{n_0}(r_0') \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(r_1'^2 + r_2'^2 + r_3'^2 + r_0'^2)\right\}$$

$$k_1' = k_2' = k_3' = 0 \quad k_0' = \kappa.$$

$$\rightarrow \varphi(x, r) = \frac{e^{i k_{\mu} x_{\mu}}}{\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0} c_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0}} H_{n_1}(r_1') H_{n_2}(r_2') H_{n_3}(r_3')$$

$$\times H_{n_0}(r_0') \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(r_{\mu} r_{\mu} + 2 \frac{(k_{\mu} r_{\mu})^2}{k_{\mu} k_{\mu}})\right\}$$

~~$\rightarrow \Sigma$~~

Projection operator

$$P\varphi = \sum_{\substack{n_0=0 \\ n_1, n_2, n_3}} c_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0} e^{i k_{\mu} x_{\mu}}$$

$$\times H_{n_1}(r_1') H_{n_2}(r_2') H_{n_3}(r_3') \overline{H_{n_0}(r_0')}$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(r_1'^2 + r_2'^2 + r_3'^2 + r_0'^2)\right\}$$

~~For arbitrary value of  $k_{\mu}$ .~~

An arbitrary function of  $x, r$  can be expanded into Fourier series or integral first <sup>with respect to  $x$</sup>  and then each Fourier coef. or Fourier transform  $V_A(k, r)$  can further be expanded into series of complete set of orthogonal functions

$$H_{n_1}(r_1') H_{n_2}(r_2') H_{n_3}(r_3') H_{n_0}(r_0')$$

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(r_1'^2 + r_2'^2 + r_3'^2 + r_0'^2)\right\}$$

where  $(r'_1, r'_2, r'_3, r'_0)$  is the Lorentz transformation of  $(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_0)$  and in the new coordinate system,

$$k'_1 = k'_2 = k'_3 = 0 \quad \text{for a space-like } k_\mu$$

$$\text{and } k'_0 = 0 \quad \text{for a time-like } k_\mu.$$

(For a  $k_\mu$  with  $k_\mu k_\mu = 0$  on the light cone, this procedure fails.)

Then, the projection operator is an invariant operator:

The interaction term for heavy mesion density

$$L_{\text{int}} = g \rho \varphi(x, r) \sum_a \bar{\psi}_a(x + \frac{r}{2}) \psi_a(x - \frac{r}{2}),$$

$$\int \int L_{\text{int}}(x, r) dx dr$$

$$= g \int \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0} c_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0} e^{i k_\mu x_\mu} \int H_{n_1}(r'_1) H_{n_2}(r'_2) H_{n_3}(r'_3) \exp(\dots) \times \sum_a \bar{\psi}_a(x + \frac{r}{2}) \psi_a(x - \frac{r}{2}) dr$$

$$= g \int \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0} c_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_0} e^{i k_\mu x_\mu} \frac{P(k_\mu)}{(H_{n_1} \dots H_{n_0} \exp(\dots))} \sum_a \bar{\psi}_a(x + \frac{r}{2}) \psi_a(x - \frac{r}{2}) dx dr$$

$$= g \int \varphi(x, r) \cdot P \sum_a \bar{\psi}_a(x + \frac{r}{2}) \psi_a(x - \frac{r}{2}) dx dr$$

P.O.2.

Projection operator which is independent  
of  $k_\mu$ .  
Spherical-Hyperbolic coordinates.

Restriction to invariant functions?  
Non-quadratic integrability?

Katz

Born, R.M.P.

$k_{\mu}$  time-like

$$H_{n_1}(x_1) H_{n_2}(x_2) H_{n_3}(x_3) H_{n_0}(x_0) \exp$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\mu} v_{\mu} v_{\mu} - \frac{2(k_{\mu} v_{\mu})^2}{k_{\mu} k_{\mu}} \right)$$

$k_{\mu}^{(k)} = k_{\mu}^{(k)}$   
 $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 0$ ,  $k_0 = \pm \kappa$ ,  $k_{\mu} k_{\mu} = -\kappa^2$   
 $= -\frac{1}{2} (v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 + v_0^2)$

invariant

$n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - n_0$  : invariant

---

$n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_0$  : invariant

---

(being the highest degree of the polynomial, which is invariant under linear transformation)

$n_1 + n_2 + n_3$  : invariant

$n_0$  : invariant

P: invariant projection operator

$k_\mu$ : space-like

$$H_{\mu_1}(r_1) H_{\mu_2}(r_2) H_{\mu_3}(r_3) H_{\mu_0}(r_0) \\ \exp -\frac{1}{2} \left( -k_\mu r_\mu + \frac{2(k_\mu r_\mu)^2}{k_\mu k_\mu} \right)$$

$$\left( \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( r_1^{(k)}^2 + r_2^{(k)}^2 + r_3^{(k)}^2 + r_0^{(k)}^2 \right) \\ k_1^{(k)} = k, \quad k_2 = k_3 = k_0$$

$$k_1^{(k)} = k_2^{(k)} = k_3^{(k)} = \frac{k}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (x \pm)$$

$$(x + y + z)^2$$

