

On the Theory of β -Disintegration

By Hideki Yukawa and Shoichi Sakata

§ 1. Introduction

The present quantum theory teaches us that almost all the phenomena occurring in nature can be resolved into a number of elementary processes, in which the laws of conservation of energy and momentum hold always good.

The disintegration of natural or artificially produced radio-element by emitting electrons or positrons with the continuous energy spectrum was the only case ever known, in which the conservation laws seemed to be violated.

In all other cases, new sorts of elementary particles, such as the photon and the neutron, have been introduced, whenever necessary for the conservation of energy and momentum.

So, in this last case also, it seems more plausible to introduce a new sort of elementary particle, which goes away unnoticed with the surplus energy and momentum, than to consider that the conservation laws do not hold in principle.

Pauli's ~~theory~~ ^{the} neutrino theory of β -disintegration, which was suggested by Pauli and developed by Fermi¹⁾ on this point of view, was found to agree with the experiment on the whole, although there are ^{still} many points to be completed in future.

corrected and

1) This paper was read before the meeting of Osaka branch on July 6, 1935.

2) E. Fermi,

§ 2. General Theory

According to Fermi's theory, β -disintegration can be considered as the emission of an electron and a neutrino at the same time with the transition of a heavy particle in the nucleus from a neutron state to a proton state.

We can alternatively assume¹⁾ that, in this case, the light particle jumps from a neutrino state of negative energy to an electron state of positive energy at the same time with the nuclear transition, thus an electron and an anti-neutrino being emitted. Similarly, in the case of positron emission, the light particle we consider that the light particle jumps from an electron state of negative energy to a neutrino state of positive energy, thus a positron and a neutrino being emitted.

Further ~~more~~ ^{we want to} ~~we~~ ^{assume} that the light particle satisfies Dirac's wave equation, in which the charge and the proper mass are, however, not constant and take the values $(-e, m)$ or $(0, m)$ according as the particle is in an electron state or in a neutrino state. Thus the wave equation has the form

$$\left\{ \frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e'A_0 + \vec{\alpha}(c\vec{p} + e'\vec{A}) + \beta mc^2 \right\} \psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

where e', m' are the matrices, which commute with each other and ~~commute~~ with all other quantities. They can be written simultaneously in the diagonal ~~for~~ forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} e \cdot 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} m \cdot 1 & 0 \\ 0 & m \cdot 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

1) H. Yukawa, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan, 1935. This paper will be referred to as I.

where $1, 0$ denote unit and zero matrices respectively, with four rows and columns. Other notations are the same as in the previous paper of the authors²⁾. The wave function ψ has eight components and in the representation, in which e', m' take the are expressed by (2), the first ~~four~~ four components refer to the electron state and the remaining four to the neutrino state.

Now the change of atomic ^{the charge} number of the nucleus from Ze to $(Z-1)e$ with the liberation of energy of amount ΔW can be considered as a ~~periodic~~ ^{periodic} perturbation varying with the frequency $\nu = \frac{\Delta W}{h}$, which induces the transition of the light particle from an electron state to a neutrino state.

If we assume that this perturbation ~~is~~ is described by a field acting on the light particle³⁾ and that this new sort of field can be derived from scalar potential and vector potentials, in analogy with the ordinary electromagnetic potential field, we should add the term of the form

$$g'\tau'(U + \vec{\alpha}\vec{V}) \exp(-2\pi i\nu t) \quad (3)$$

to the operator in the left hand side of the wave equation (1), where τ' is an operator, which changes the electron state of the light particle into the neutrino state, and g' is a constant in the representation, in which e', m' are expressed by (2), τ' has the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

^{See} Compare I,
 1) ~~See I~~, in which only the scalar potential was taken into account

2) H. Yukawa and S. Sakata, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan, 1935. This paper will be referred to as II.

U_0, \vec{U} are the scalar and vector functions of the coordinates describing the potentials of the perturbing field due to the nuclear transformation and g' is a constant analogous to the charge in the case of electromagnetic interaction.

A possible theory of such a field, was given previously recently by one of the authors; further development of this theory will be formulated in the last section. Here, we assume ^{discussed in detail} want to assume ^{simply} that the potentials U_0, \vec{U} are vanish outside of the nucleus.

Since, in general, the total angular momentum of the system consisting of the light particle and the nucleus ^{is assumed} does not change during the process of disintegration, ^{is considered to be constant} if the change of the total angular momentum \vec{M} with of the light particle \rightarrow is ^{directly} connected with that of the nuclear spin \vec{s} with by the relation

$$\Delta \vec{M} = -\Delta \vec{s}$$

Especially when the absolute value and the direction of the nuclear spin does not change by the nuclear transition, the total angular momentum of light particle will also be constant throughout the transition, so that the perturbation on the light operator (3) should commute with the operator

$$\vec{M} = \vec{m} + \frac{\hbar}{4\pi} \vec{\sigma} \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{m} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ and $\vec{\sigma}$ is the spin mat vector. In this case, if we assume that

If we U_0 and \vec{U} to be the functions of coordinates \vec{r} only, they we can see I.

show that it follows that they have the following forms U_0 is the function

U_0 depends only on r and \vec{U} has the form $\vec{U} = \frac{\vec{r}}{r} U'(r)$ function of r , where U' is a certain function of r only as shown in the previous paper. We can transform the perturbed Hamiltonian wave equation

$$\left\{ \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e'A_0 + \vec{\alpha} (c\vec{p} + e'\vec{A}) + \beta mc^2 \right\} \psi = 0 + g'\tau' (U_0 + \vec{\alpha}\vec{U}) \exp(-2\pi i \nu t) \psi = 0 \quad (6)$$

into the form

$$\left\{ \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e'A_0 + \epsilon p_r c + i\epsilon p_3 \right\} \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \beta_3 mc^2 + g'\tau' (U_0 + \epsilon U') \exp(-2\pi i \nu t) \psi = 0 \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{\vec{\alpha}\vec{r}}{r} = \frac{\rho_1 \vec{\sigma}\vec{r}}{r}$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &= \rho_3 \left\{ \vec{\sigma}\vec{m} + \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right\} \\ p_r &= \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \left\{ \vec{\alpha}\vec{r} + \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \vec{p} - \frac{1}{r} (\vec{r}\vec{p} - \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) = \frac{-\hbar}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (8)$$

In particular, when the central electrostatic field of the nucleus acts on the electron, see II, §4. Dirac,

A_0 is a function of r only and \vec{A} ~~vanishes~~ $= 0$,
 Thus that in (1) there appears only the
 operators ϵ, j, p, r .
 They commute with one another except
 that the first two anticommute with
 each other, which can satisfy the
 relations

$$\epsilon^2 = p_3^2 = 1$$

Among these, j commutes with other
 variables, while ϵ and p_3 , which satisfy
 the relations

$$\epsilon^2 = p_3^2 = 1 \quad \epsilon p_3 + p_3 \epsilon = 1$$

In the representation, in which can be
 represented as matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

In this representation, the commuting variables
 + quantities ϵ, p_3, j, r and
 $u = M_z = m_z + \frac{h}{4\pi} \sigma_z$

are taken as the arguments of the wave
 functions, instead of the coordinates and
 p_3, σ_z , the wave equation becomes the form

$$\left\{ \frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e'A_0 + \epsilon p_r c + i\epsilon p_3 \left(\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi r} + p_3 m c \right) + g' \tau' (U_0 + \epsilon U') \exp(-2\pi i \nu t) \right\} \Psi(p, j, r, u, \epsilon, t) = 0 \quad (10)$$

is in the electron
 state or in the neutrino
 state,

besides the in addition to the quantity u , for example
 e' , characterizing the determining the whether the light particle

commute with other operators in $\#(10)$,
 since j and u are the constants of perturbed
 motion, even when the perturbation of the above type
 exists, they can be considered simply as numbers,
 so that (10) can be represented written as
 as follows in the form

$$\Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) \quad \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r, t), \quad \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t), \quad \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r, t)$$

where the first two components refer to the
 electron state and the remaining components
 to the neutrino states, the wave eq which
 satisfy the following equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + eA_0 + \epsilon p_3 m c \right\} \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) \\ & * - \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1+j}{r} \right\} \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r, t) = 0 \\ & \left\{ \frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + eA_0 - m c^2 \right\} \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) = 0 \\ & \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1-j}{r} \right) \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) + \\ & \left\{ \frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + m c^2 \right\} \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) - \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1+j}{r} \right\} \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r, t) \\ & + g' (U_0 + \epsilon U') \exp(-2\pi i \nu t) \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) \\ & - i g' U' \exp(-2\pi i \nu t) \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r, t) = 0 \\ & \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1-j}{r} \right) \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) + \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - m c^2 \right) \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r, t) \\ & + g' U \exp(-2\pi i \nu t) \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r, t) \\ & + i g' U' \exp(-2\pi i \nu t) \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r, t) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (11)$$

Now ~~can~~ we consider ^a light particle ^{initially} in the electron state with ^{negative} energy E_* ($E_+ \rightarrow m c^2$)

with the wave functions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r,t) &= \Psi_{E_j,u}^{(1)}(r) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i E_+ t}{h}\right) \\ \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r,t) &= \Psi_{E_j,u}^{(2)}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i E_+ t}{h}\right) \\ \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r,t) &= 0 \\ \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r,t) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} (12)$$

satisfying the unperturbed equations

$$\begin{aligned} (E_* + eA_0 + mc^2) \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r) - \frac{hc}{2\pi} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1+r}{r}\right) \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r) &= 0 \\ \frac{hc}{2\pi} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1-r}{r}\right) \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r) + (E_* + eA_0 - mc^2) \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

On account of the perturbation expressed by the terms involving g' in (11), there ~~are~~ ^{may occur} is the probability of transition of the light particle to the ^{continuous} state of energy positive energy E'_* ($E'_+ \rightarrow mc^2$) with the wave functions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r,t) &= \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r,t) = 0 \\ \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r,t) &= \Phi_{E_j,u}^{(1)}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i E'_+ t}{h}\right) \\ \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r,t) &= \Phi_{E_j,u}^{(2)}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i E'_+ t}{h}\right) \end{aligned} \right\} (13)$$

satisfying the equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (E'_+ + mc^2) \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r) - \frac{hc}{2\pi} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1+r}{r}\right) \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r) &= 0 \\ \frac{hc}{2\pi} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1-r}{r}\right) \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)}(r) + (E'_+ - mc^2) \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)}(r) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} (15)$$

can take place, provided that the condition

$$E'_+ = E + \Delta W > mc^2$$

is satisfied. The probability of the transition per unit time is given by

$$P_{j,u} = \frac{4\pi g'}{h} \left| \int_0^\infty \left(\Phi_{E_j,u}^{(1)*} \Psi_{E_j,u}^{(1)} + \Phi_{E_j,u}^{(2)*} \Psi_{E_j,u}^{(2)} \right) U_0 + 4\pi r^2 dr \right|^2 + h i \left(\Phi_{E_j,u}^{(2)*} \Psi_{E_j,u}^{(1)} - \Phi_{E_j,u}^{(1)*} \Psi_{E_j,u}^{(2)} \right) U' \right\} (16)$$

where the wave functions are normalized with respect to energy, if the energy initial electron state belongs to continuous energy state, ~~what~~ ^{where} E of the initial state is continuous, ~~on the other~~ ^{where} $|E| > mc^2$. The wave functions are normalized with respect to the energy, i.e.,

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)*} \Psi_{j,u}^{(1)} + \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)*} \Psi_{j,u}^{(2)} + \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)*} \Phi_{j,u}^{(1)} + \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)*} \Phi_{j,u}^{(2)} \right\} 4\pi r^2 dr = \delta(E_1 - E_2)$$

On the other hand, the probability per unit time of the transition from the discrete initial state is given by the same expression (16), where

$P = \frac{4\pi}{h^2}$ if the wave functions of the initial state are normalized to 1.

If $E' = E + \Delta W > mc^2$, $E < -mc^2$, this process corresponds to the emission of a neutrino of energy $E' = E + \Delta W$ and a positron of energy $-E = E_+$, while if the initial state E is one of \pm belongs to the discrete energy level ($mc^2 > E > 0$) of the electron moving in the field of the charge Ze , this corresponds

to the disappearance of an orbital electron with the energy E at the same time with the decrease of the nuclear charge by one.

and the emission of a neutrino of energy $E' = E + \Delta W$

In the neighborhood of the static field is given by expressed by the Coulomb potential $A_0 = \frac{Ze^2}{r}$

the normalized wave functions of the continuous electron state can be taken in the neighborhood of the nucleus approximately equal to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_{E',j,u}^{(1)}(r) &\approx N_+ \sqrt{2(j+\delta')(jE_++\delta')} r^{j-1} \\ \Psi_{E',j,u}^{(2)}(r) &\approx \pm N_+ \sqrt{2(j-\delta')(jE_++\delta')} r^{j-1} \end{aligned} \right\} (11B)$$

according as $j \gg 0$, where $j \geq 0$

$$N_+^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi mc^2} \frac{1}{\{\Gamma(2\delta'+1)\}^2} \left(\frac{4\pi me^2}{h^2}\right)^{2\delta'+1} \eta_+^{2\delta'-1} e^{-\pi\alpha Z} \frac{2^{\delta'+1}}{\eta_+^{\delta'+1}} \times \left| \Gamma\left(\delta'+i\alpha Z \frac{E_+}{\eta_+}\right) \right|^2$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2\pi e^2}{hc}, \quad \delta' = \sqrt{j^2 - \alpha^2 Z^2},$$

$$E_+ = \frac{E_+}{mc^2}, \quad \eta_+ = \sqrt{E_+^2 - 1}$$

$$\alpha Z \alpha C_{\delta'-1}^{(1)} - (\delta'-1 + |j|) C_{\delta'-1}^{(2)} = 0$$

$$(\delta'-1 + |j|) C_{\delta'-1}^{(1)} + \alpha Z C_{\delta'-1}^{(2)} = 0$$

$$C_{\delta'-1}^{(1)} : C_{\delta'-1}^{(2)} = \alpha Z : \delta' + j : \alpha Z : \delta' - j$$

$$= \delta' + j : \sqrt{j^2 - \delta'^2} = \sqrt{\delta' + j} : \sqrt{j - \delta'} \quad \text{for } j > 0$$

$$= \sqrt{\delta' + j} : \sqrt{-j - \delta'} = \sqrt{-j + \delta'} \quad \text{for } j < 0.$$

$$\Psi_{-E_+,j,u}^{(1)}(r) \approx N_+ \sqrt{j+\delta'} r^{\delta'-1}$$

$$\Psi_{-E_+,j,u}^{(2)}(r) \approx N_+ \alpha Z r^{\delta'-1}$$

$$N_+^2 = \frac{2(jE_++\delta')}{(j+\delta')} N_+^2$$

for small value of r in the nucleus, similarly the wave functions of the neutrino state of positive energy E' are given by for small value of r by $\varphi_{E',j,u}^{(1)}(r) \approx N_+ \sqrt{2j^2(j+|j|)(jE'+|j|)} r^{j-1}$

$$\varphi_{E',j,u}^{(2)}(r) \approx$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \right\}^2 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{per unit energy} \\ \text{range} \\ \text{per unit time} \end{array} \right\}$$

Inserting (17) into (16), we obtain for the probability of disintegration of the nucleus with the emission of a neutrino of energy a position of energy E_+ and a neutrino of energy $(\Delta W - E_+)$ is ~~of~~ becomes finally

$$P_{\beta+} = \frac{\delta+1}{2} \frac{256\pi^4 m^5 c^4}{h^7 \Gamma(2\delta+1)} \left(\frac{4\pi m c}{h} \right)^{2\delta-1}$$

$$\left\{ \int r^{\delta-1} g' U_0(r) dr \right\}^2 dF(\varepsilon_+, \kappa) d\varepsilon_+ \quad (18)$$

where $\Delta W = \frac{\Delta W}{mc^2}$, $\kappa = \frac{M}{m}$, $d\varepsilon_+ = \frac{dE_+}{mc^2}$,
 and $F(\varepsilon_+, \kappa) = \eta_+^{2\delta-1} e^{-\pi\alpha Z \frac{\varepsilon_+}{\eta_+}} \Gamma(\delta + \alpha Z \frac{\varepsilon_+}{\eta_+})$ (19)

$$\times \{ \varepsilon_+ (\Delta W - \varepsilon_+)^2 - \delta \cdot \kappa (\Delta W - \varepsilon_+) \}$$

which represents the energy distribution of the positron.

Thus the total probability per unit time of the positron emission is given by

$$P_{\beta+} = \frac{\delta+1}{2} \frac{256\pi^4 m^5 c^4}{h^7 \Gamma(2\delta+1)} \left(\frac{4\pi m c}{h} \right)^{2\delta-1} \int_{\Delta W-\kappa}^{\Delta W} F(\varepsilon_+, \kappa) d\varepsilon_+ \quad (20)$$

These expressions (18), (20) become identical with those of Fermi and Wick¹¹⁾, if

4)

$$\sigma_K = \frac{\text{const}}{(\Delta W + \delta)^2}$$

On the other hand, the probability of absorption of the orbital electron by the nucleus is largest when the electron is in the normal state one of the K states, in that in which case, the prob. per unit time of absorption is given by

$$P_{K,abs} = (\alpha Z)^{2\delta+1} \frac{256\pi^4 m^5 c^4}{h^7 \Gamma(2\delta+1)} \left(\frac{4\pi m c}{h} \right)^{2\delta-1}$$

$$\times \eta'(\varepsilon_+ + \kappa) \left\{ \frac{\delta+1}{2} \left| \int r^{\delta-1} g' U_0(r) dr \right|^2 + \frac{1-\delta}{2} \left| \int r^{\delta-1} g' U_0(r) dr \right|^2 \right\} \quad (21)$$

The ratio of (21) and (20) is given by

$$\sigma = \frac{P_{\beta+}}{P_{K,abs}} = \frac{\int_{\Delta W-\kappa}^{\Delta W} F(\varepsilon_+, \kappa) d\varepsilon_+}{\pi (\alpha Z)^{2\delta+1} \Gamma(2\delta+1) \{ \Delta W + \delta + \kappa \}} \quad (22)$$

(1)

The mean life time of the isobar $Z, \frac{1}{2}$, when ΔW lies between $\delta mc^2 + mc^2$ and $mc^2 + mc^2$, is given by becomes $dn = d\varepsilon$

$$\tau_{\beta+} = \frac{1}{P_{\beta+}} \quad \eta' = \frac{1}{(\Delta W + \delta + \kappa)^2 - \kappa^2} = \frac{1}{\Delta W + \delta + \kappa - \delta + \kappa}$$

and whereas, if ΔW lies between $\delta mc^2 + mc^2$ and $mc^2 + mc^2$, whereas it becomes $\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - \kappa^2}$

$$\tau_{\beta+} = \frac{1}{P_{\beta+} + P_{K,abs}} \quad \text{where if } \Delta W \text{ lies between } \delta mc^2 + mc^2 \text{ and } mc^2 + mc^2 \text{ is larger than } mc^2 + mc^2.$$

$$dN = -N(P_{\beta+} + P_{K,abs}) dt$$

③

§ 3. Numerical Results

We want now to obtain the numerical values for several cases special cases. special

for of the mean life time of the isobar Z
 special values of Z and ΔW .

According to Fermi, the factor (26)
 by comparing with the experimental value,
 Fermi in the case of ordinary β -disintegration,
 natural

Fermi took for the factor (26) a value
 the constant g a value
 $4 \times 10^{-50} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ erg.}$ (33)

and assumed for
 $|v_m^* \text{ unde}|^2$

a value of to be of order 1, so we in the
 above result formulae we should take
 for the factor (27) ~~(23) (29) (30) (31)~~
 to the value above value (25),
 (33).

Further putting the numerical values
 mass of the neutrons equal to zero, i.e. $m_n = 0$,
 we have the following results for $\Delta W < 1$
 (of ΔW between $-\gamma$ and 1.

$$T_K = \frac{200}{(\Delta W + 1)^2} \text{ days}$$

for $\alpha Z = 0.1$, i.e. $Z \approx 14$
 and

(2) In the above calculations it was always assumed
 that ~~the~~ ^{the normal states of} both the two isobars ^{would} have the same
 the normal states spin value. If this ~~was~~ ^{is} not
 not the case, the probabilities will be, in general,
 much smaller. P_K, P_+

αZ	Z	T_K
0.1	~14	$\frac{1370}{(\Delta W + 1)^2}$ years
0.2	~27	$\frac{200}{(\Delta W + 1)^2}$ days
0.5	~69	$\frac{25}{(\Delta W + 1)^2}$ days
		$\frac{14}{(\Delta W + 0.87)^2}$ hours

Thus,
 These results shows that the life time of the
 isobar Z becomes shorter and shorter as ~~the~~
 atomic Z increases, being of order of several
 hours for $Z \approx 70$, except when ΔW is
 very near to $\gamma = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2 Z^2}$ unless
~~the~~ such a result

The fact that ~~sever~~ On the other hand, we
 find several pairs of isobars with consecutive
 atomic numbers, in the table of ~~the~~ known
 isotopes, especially in the region of higher
 atomic number.

This shows that either the two isobars
 have ~~the~~ different spin values or the theory
 of the light particle ~~can not~~ be not above
 applied ~~able~~ to the immediate neighborhood

On the Theory of β -disintegration and
on the phenomenon related
~~to the phenomenon related with it.~~
~~to the β -disintegration.~~
the possibility of

Abstract

The possibility of

such a process
The probability of the following process phenomenon,
which is closely connected with β -disintegration,
~~and it is considered~~ and its bearing on the
general theory of β -disintegration is discussed.

① Namely, if the mass difference of proper energies of
two isobars with atomic numbers Z and $Z-1$
respectively is larger than $-(m_e + m_{\nu})$, where
 m_e and m_{ν} are the masses of the electron and the
neutrino respectively, the isobar Z will ~~also~~
change into the isobar $Z-1$ by absorbing one
of the orbital electron.

② According to the ~~present~~ ^{general} theory of β -disintegration,
the following phenomenon is expected.
the occurrence of

③ The probability of such a process is calculated
and its ~~relation~~ ^{connection} to the problem of ^{the} neutrino
mass and the nuclear spin is discussed.