

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
OSAKA IMPERIAL UNIVERSITY.

Short Note

DATE.....

NO.....

Note on the Theory of Positrons

Recently attempts were made to eliminate the difficulty of infinite charge density in Dirac's theory of positrons. Heisenberg⁽¹⁾ introduced the field expression

$$R_s = \frac{1}{2} [\psi^\dagger(x'k') \psi(x''k'') - \psi(x''k'') \psi^\dagger(x'k')] \quad (1)$$

as the quantum electrodynamical analogon to Dirac's symmetrical density matrix for the electron⁽²⁾, where x', x'' denote the coordinates of any two points in four dimensional space and k', k'' both take the value 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Now we want to extend this ~~ass~~ formalism in the following manner. Every quantity which can be derived from the density matrix (by differentiation or integration with respect to the coordinates ~~or by~~ ^{etc.} other processes) should ~~have~~ ^{take} the symmetrical form similar to (1). For example, charge density for the electron ^{at the point x'} should take the form ~~at the point x'~~

$$-\frac{e}{2} \sum_{k'=1}^4 \{ \psi^\dagger(x'k') \psi(x'k') - \psi(x'k') \psi^\dagger(x'k') \} \quad (2)$$

Similarly the current density takes the form

$$-\frac{ec}{2} \sum_{k', l'=1}^4 \{ \psi^\dagger(x'k') \alpha_{k'l'} \psi(x'l') - \alpha_{k'l'} \psi(x'l') \psi^\dagger(x'k') \} \quad (3)$$

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
 OSAKA IMPERIAL UNIVERSITY.

DATE.....

NO.....

These expressions are already free from the difficulty of infinite densities, for, if ~~to~~ all the, at least, in the case of no external field. For, if all the negative energy states are occupied, and all the positive energy states are empty, the mean value of ~~the~~ the operator (2) is zero, as the ~~negat~~ negat which can be easily understood from the symmetry of positive and negative states. If finite number of negative energy states are empty and finite number of positive energy states are occupied, the only these finite number of states will contribute to the density.

Next the symmetrical Hamiltonian for the electron

is

$$\bar{H}_e = \frac{c}{2} \iiint dx' dy' dz' \left[\psi^\dagger(x'k') \left\{ \cancel{\alpha_{k'e'}} \alpha_{k'e'} (p + \frac{e}{c} A) + \beta mc \right\} \psi(x'k') - \left\{ \alpha_{k'e'} (p + \frac{e}{c} A) + \beta mc \right\} \psi(x'l') \psi^\dagger(x'k') \right], \quad (14)$$

where $p_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'}$ etc, so that the

Hamiltonian for the system electron plus electromagnetic field becomes

$$\bar{H} = \bar{H}_e + \frac{1}{8\pi} \iiint (\mathbf{E}_{(x'y'z')}^2 + \mathbf{H}_{(x'y'z')}^2) dx' dy' dz'. \quad (15)$$

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
OSAKA IMPERIAL UNIVERSITY.

DATE.....

NO.....

From this Hamiltonian and the ordinary relations of commutation for the field quantities ψ, ψ^\dagger, A, E and H , the field equations for are derived.

The only difference of ~~the~~ between the field equations thus they differ only in that the charge and current densities take the form (2) and (3) respectively in our case.

All the ~~in~~ case, when all the negative ~~from~~ (4) we notice see that, if all the states of the electron are empty, ~~the~~ the energy for the electron become negative infinity.

We notice from the expression (4) that, if all the negative energy states are occupied and ~~all~~ the positive energy states are empty, the energy for the electron becomes negative infinity, which, however, is constant and is a sort of zero point energy.